1	JASON M. FRIERSON		
2	United States Attorney		
_	Nevada Bar No. 7709		
3	MELANEE SMITH		
4	Assistant United States Attorney		
٦	501 Las Vegas Blvd., South, Ste. 1100		
5	Las Vegas, NV 89101 (702) 388-6336		
6	Melanee.Smith@usdoj.gov		
0	Attorneys for the United States		
7			
8	TELIA MARY U. WILLIAMS		
0	Nevada Bar No. 9359		
9	Law Office of Telia U. Williams		
	10161 Park Run Dr., Ste. 150		
10	Las Vegas, Nevada 89145		
11	Phone: 702.835-6866		
	telia@telialaw.com		
12	Attorney for Defendant		
13	Christopher Coolidge		
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
15			
16		* * *	
16			
17	INVESTIGATION OF AN INCOME.	2 10 CD 00010 IOM DIA	
18	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) 2:18-CR-00318-JCM-DJA	
10			
19	Plaintiff,		
20	Traintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO	
20	v.) CONTINUE SENTENCING	
21)	
22	CHRISTOPHER KENNETH COOLIDGE,)	
)	
23	- 2 4	(Seventh Request)	
24	Defendant.		
-)	
25	It is hereby stimulated by and between	n Christopher Kenneth Coolidge Defendant, by and	
26	It is hereby stipulated by and between Christopher Kenneth Coolidge, Defendant, by and		
	through his counsel, Telia Mary U. Williams, Esq., and the United States of America, by and		
27	,	, 1,	
28	through its counsel, Melanee Smith, Assista	nt United States Attorney, that the sentencing date in	
~	I		

15

24

25 26

27

28

the above-captioned matter currently scheduled for May 25, 2022, at the hour of 10:00 a.m., be vacated and continued by forty-five (45) days at a date and time convenient to this Honorable Court.

This Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:

- 1. The Defendant, who is indigent and has to support a minor child, has had suddenly to take over greater financial responsibilities and payment for a house due to a family member, who was heretofore providing substantial financial support and assisting with child care, moving out. The Defendant has been on pre-trial release in the area of Seattle, Washington, where he has lived and worked during the pendency of this case. He is in good stead on pre-trial release, and has been for greater than two years.
- 2. Mr. Coolidge has returned twice to the area from Washington for hearings before this court; and he has never missed a court date. And Mr. Coolidge planned and expected to be able to do so for his sentencing scheduled for next week, as well. But with the short notice of his needing to afford a greater sum than he had planned to pay for his and his family's childcare and housing, he requires a short continuance in which to shore up funds that he could not leave aside for the trip next week due to the change in his circumstances (which were beyond his control), and to prepare to leave his child under other arrangements. He has taken the time to assess his situation, and with the assistance of counsel has determined that a 45-day continuance would give him the time he needs to make his court appearance and not cause himself or his family undue hardship, especially as he could be facing a prison sentence and has a minor child for whom he may be the sole support.
- 3. The Defendant is currently out of custody on Pretrial Release in the State of Washington. He does not object to the continuance.

- 4. Counsel for the Government has no objection to the continuance.
- 5. Denial for this request for continuance could deny the defendant, who has been perfectly compliant and cooperative with his pre-trial release and in assisting in his own defense, the opportunity to be present at his sentencing hearing in person and under his own volition, as he had expected and has shown himself to be responsible to do, and furthermore subject him to an unnecessary and undue hardship.
- 6. Accordingly, denial of this request for continuance would result in a miscarriage of justice.
- 7. For all the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of the sentencing date.
- 8. This is the seventh request for a continuance of the sentencing date in this case.

 Prior requests were not due to the individual defendant's request, but due to his counsel needing one, and/or due to the previous situation involving the Covid-19 pandemic.

DATED this 18th day of May, 2022.

LAW OFFICE OF TELIA U. WILLIAMS UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

/s/Telia Mary U. Williams
Telia Mary U. Williams, Esq.
10161 Park Run Drive
Suite 150
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

/s/ Melanee Smith
Melanee Smith, Esq.
Assistant United States Attorney
501 Las Vegas Boulevard South. #1100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

-3-

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	2:18-CR-00318-JCM-DJA
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	ORDER
V.)	
)	
CHRISTOPHER KENNETH COOLIDGE,)	
)	
)	
Defendant.)	
	_)	

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court finds:

- The Defendant, who is indigent and has to support a minor child, has had suddenly to take over greater financial responsibilities due to losing the support of a family member without previous notice.
- 2. The Defendant has been on pre-trial release in the area of Seattle, Washington, where he has lived and worked during the pendency of this case. He is in good stead on pre-trial release, and has been so since the inception, for greater than two years. The Defendant has not previously requested a continuance for his own personal concerns (as opposed to that of his counsel). He has made all prior court appearances, including ones in which he has had to arrange and pay for his own travel to the jurisdiction from out of state.
- 3. In addition, the Defendant would face a hardship that would impact his family, in particular, a minor child for whom it may be difficult for him to arrange for care with the abrupt change in his circumstances.
- 4. The Defendant is currently out of custody on Pretrial Release in the State of Washington. He does not object to the continuance.

- 5. Counsel for the Government has no objection to the continuance.
- 6. Denial for this request for continuance would place an undue burden on the Defendant who desires to attend his sentencing hearing in person and under his own volition.
- 7. Accordingly, denial of this request for continuance would result in a miscarriage of justice.
- 8. For all the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a 45-day continuance of the sentencing date.
- 9. This is the seventh request for a continuance of the sentencing date in this case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Denial of this request for continuance would deny the defendant, Christopher Coolidge, the opportunity to attend his sentencing hearing in person.

As such, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the sentencing currently scheduled for May 25, 2022 at 10:00am, be continued to **July 13, 2022, at 11:00 a.m.**

DATED May 20, 2022.

UN TED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE